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## Poverty Rate Unchanged From 2004, But Up Since 2000

New estimates by the Census Bureau show that the poverty rate and the number of people living in poverty were statistically unchanged in 2005. Since 2000, however, the poverty rate has risen by 1.3 percentage points and the number of poor people has grown by 5.4 million.

## The National Picture

- Thenumber of Americans living in poverty remained statistically unchanged at 37 million in 2005 after increases each year between 2000 and 2004 (Chart 1). Since 2000, the number of people in poverty has increased by a total of 5.4 million. The official poverty line for a family of four is $\$ 19,971$.
- The poverty rate in 2005 was 12.6 percent, statistically unchanged from 12.7 percent in 2004. Before last year, the poverty rate had increased for four straight years, and it remains 1.3 percentage points higher than it was in 2000. In contrast, the poverty rate had declined each year from 1994 to 2000 (Chart 1).
- More than one in six children lives in poverty. The poverty rate for all children under 18 years of age was 17.6 percent in 2005, statistically unchanged from its level in 2004.
- The poverty rate was 24.7 percent for blacks in 2005 and 21.8 percent for Hispanics. Since 2000, the poverty rate among blacks has increased significantly, rising by 2.2 percentage points (Chart 2). The poverty rates among black and Hispanic children were even higher, at 33.5 percent and 28.3 percent, respectively.
- Poverty has increased not only because of the relatively weak labor market, but also because income support programs like Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) are helping fewer individuals. For example, although the number of children living in poverty has increased by 11.3 percent since 2000, the number of children receiving TANF has moved in the opposite direction, declining by 15.5 percent over the same period.


## The State Picture

Following Census guidance on how to use state level data, this report compares the two-year average for 1999-2000, the last years of the Clinton Administration, with the twoyear average for 2004-2005 to analyze changes in poverty under President Bush. During that period:

- The Midwest region of the country saw the greatest percentage increase in the number of poor people (Table 1). The number of poor people in the region increased by 23 percent while its total population increased by only 2 percent. Half of the states in the Midwest experienced a statistically significant increase in the number of poor people. Indiana was hit the hardest. There, the number of poor people increased by 63 percent while its total population increased by only 3 percent.
- The poverty rate increased significantly in 15 states and the District of Columbia (Table 2). In seven of these states and in the District of Columbia, the poverty rate increased by at least 3 percentage
points. Only one state (Idaho) experienced a significant decline in its poverty rate. The rate in the remaining 34 states was essentially unchanged.
- A full 21 states and the District of Columbia experienced significant increases in the number of poor people (Table 3). In 16 of these states and the District of Columbia, the increase was at least 25 percent.

Chart 1- Poverty was Substantially Higher in 2005 than in 2000
Number of People in Poverty and Poverty Rate, 1970-2005



## Table 1

The Midwest Experienced a Substantial Increase in Poverty
Two-Year Average of Population and Number of People in Poverty, 1999-2000 and 2004-2005

|  | 1999-2000 |  | 2004-2005 |  | Percent change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region <br> population <br> (in millions) | Poor <br> population <br> (in millions) | Total <br> population <br> (in millions) | Poor <br> population <br> (in millions) | Total <br> population | Poor <br> population |  |
| West | 63.0 | 7.7 | 67.6 | 8.5 | 7 | 10 |
| South | 98.1 | 12.7 | 105.5 | 14.8 | 8 | 17 |
| Northeast | 53.0 | 5.7 | 54.0 | 6.2 | 2 | 10 |
| Midwest | 63.6 | 6.1 | 64.9 | 7.5 | 2 | 23 |
| United States | 244.6 | 32.2 | 291.9 | 37.0 | 5 | 15 |

[^0]| Table 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of People in Poverty, by State, 1999-2000 and 2004-2005 |  |  |  |
| State | 2-year average (1999-2000) | 2-year average (2004-2005) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change (2004-2005 } \\ \text { average less 1999- } \\ 2000 \text { average) }{ }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percentage points |
| United States | 11.6 | 12.7 | 1.1 * |
| Alabam a | 14.3 | 16.8 | 2.5 |
| Alaska | 7.6 | 9.5 | 1.9 |
| Arizona | 11.9 | 14.8 | 2.9 * |
| Arkansas | 15.6 | 14.5 | -1.1 |
| California | 13.4 | 13.2 | -0.2 |
| Colorado | 9.1 | 10.7 | 1.6 |
| Connecticut | 7.4 | 9.7 | 2.3 * |
| Delaware | 9.4 | 9.1 | -0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 15.0 | 19.1 | 4.1 * |
| Florida | 11.7 | 11.4 | -0.3 |
| Georgia | 12.5 | 13.7 | 1.2 |
| Hawaii | 9.9 | 8.6 | -1.3 |
| Idaho | 13.3 | 9.9 | -3.4 * |
| Illinois | 10.3 | 11.9 | 1.6 * |
| Indiana | 7.6 | 12.1 | 4.5 * |
| lowa | 7.8 | 11.1 | 3.3 * |
| Kansas | 10.1 | 12.0 | 1.8 |
| Kentucky | 12.3 | 16.3 | 3.9 * |
| Louisiana | 18.2 | 17.6 | -0.6 |
| $M$ aine | 10.3 | 12.1 | 1.8 |
| $M$ aryland | 7.3 | 9.8 | 2.5 * |
| M assachusetts | 10.8 | 9.7 | -1.1 |
| Michigan | 9.8 | 12.6 | 2.8 * |
| M innesota | 6.5 | 7.5 | 1.1 |
| M is sis sippi | 15.6 | 19.4 | 3.8 * |
| M is s ouri | 10.4 | 11.9 | 1.5 |
| M ontana | 15.0 | 14.0 | -1.0 |
| Nebraska | 9.8 | 9.5 | -0.3 |
| Nevada | 10.0 | 10.8 | 0.7 |
| New Hampshire | 6.1 | 5.5 | -0.6 |
| New Jersey | 7.6 | 7.4 | -0.2 |
| New Mexico | 19.2 | 17.2 | -2.0 |
| New York | 14.0 | 14.8 | 0.7 |
| North Carolina | 13.1 | 13.8 | 0.7 |
| North Dakota | 11.7 | 10.4 | -1.3 |
| Ohio | 11.0 | 11.9 | 1.0 |
| Oklahoma | 13.9 | 13.2 | -0.7 |
| Oregon | 11.7 | 11.9 | 0.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 9.0 | 11.3 | 2.3 * |
| Rhode Island | 10.1 | 11.8 | 1.7 |
| South Carolina | 11.4 | 15.0 | 3.6 * |
| South Dakota | 9.2 | 12.7 | 3.4 * |
| Tennessee | 12.7 | 15.4 | 2.7 * |
| Texas | 15.4 | 16.3 | 1.0 |
| Utah | 6.7 | 9.6 | 3.0 * |
| Vermont | 9.8 | 7.7 | -2.1 |
| $V$ irginia | 8.1 | 9.3 | 1.2 |
| W ashington | 10.2 | 10.8 | 0.6 |
| W est Virginia | 15.2 | 14.8 | -0.4 |
| $W$ isconsin | 8.9 | 11.3 | 2.3 * |
| W yoming | 11.2 | 10.3 | -0.9 |

*Statistically different from zero at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ Details may not sum to totals because of rounding

| Table 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of People in Poverty, by State, 1999-2000 and 2004-2005 |  |  |  |
| State | 2-year average (1999-2000) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-year average } \\ (2004-2005) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change (2004-2005 average less 19992000 average) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Thousands | Thousands | Thousands |
| United States | 32,186 | 36,995 | 4,809 * |
| Alabama | 626 | 756 | 130 * |
| Alaska | 48 | 62 | 15 * |
| Arizona | 612 | 874 | 262 * |
| Arkansas | 410 | 397 | -14 |
| California | 4,513 | 4,720 | 207 |
| Colorado | 395 | 490 | 94 |
| Connecticut | 252 | 339 | 87 * |
| Delaware | 73 | 76 | 3 |
| District of Columbia | 82 | 104 | 21 * |
| Florida | 1,846 | 2,002 | 156 |
| Georgia | 997 | 1,215 | 218 * |
| Hawaii | 119 | 108 | -11 |
| Idaho | 168 | 139 | -29 |
| Illinois | 1,261 | 1,493 | 232 * |
| Indiana | 455 | 743 | 289 * |
| lowa | 224 | 322 | 98 * |
| Kansas | 267 | 321 | 54 |
| Kentucky | 485 | 661 | 176 * |
| Louisiana | 786 | 746 | -40 |
| M aine | 131 | 158 | 27 |
| M aryland | 377 | 546 | 169 * |
| Massachusetts | 678 | 617 | -61 |
| Michigan | 972 | 1,257 | 285 * |
| Minnesota | 315 | 384 | 69 |
| M ississippi | 432 | 554 | 122 * |
| M is souri | 576 | 673 | 97 |
| Montana | 133 | 128 | -5 |
| Nebraska | 165 | 165 | 1 |
| Nevada | 205 | 260 | 55 * |
| New Hampshire | 76 | 72 | -4 |
| New Jersey | 629 | 643 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 348 | 330 | -18 |
| New York | 2,640 | 2,803 | 163 |
| North Carolina | 1,034 | 1,172 | 138 |
| North Dakota | 73 | 65 | -8 |
| Ohio | 1,230 | 1,348 | 118 |
| Oklahoma | 463 | 458 | -5 |
| Oregon | 401 | 428 | 27 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,075 | 1,378 | 303 * |
| Rhode Is land | 105 | 124 | 20 |
| South Carolina | 443 | 620 | 178 * |
| South Dakota | 67 | 96 | 29 * |
| Tennessee | 710 | 902 | 191 * |
| Texas | 3,138 | 3,675 | 537 * |
| Utah | 148 | 236 | 88 * |
| Vermont | 59 | 48 | -11 |
| Virginia | 557 | 689 | 132 * |
| W as hington | 589 | 668 | 79 |
| W est Virginia | 266 | 265 | -1 |
| W isconsin | 479 | 615 | 135 * |
| W yoming | 54 | 52 | -2 |

*Statistically different from zero at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ Details may not sum to totals because of rounding


[^0]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau

