The Honorable Bill Shuster, Chairman Subcommittee on Economic Development, Public Buildings and Emergency Management

The Big One: How Do We Ensure a Robust Federal Response to a Catastrophic Earthquake In the Los Angeles Region? February 23, 2006

The Subcommittee will come to order.

I want to thank Mr. Miller for inviting the Subcommittee to hold this hearing in Whittier today. You have been a strong leader of the Committee. Because of the great risk California faces for both natural disasters and terrorism, you have ensured that we focus on California as we look to improve state and local readiness and capabilities. I know you have a strong interest in preventing a disorganized federal response to a catastrophic earthquake in California, and we welcome your participation in today's hearing.

I would ask unanimous consent that Mr. Miller be permitted to sit with the Subcommittee at today's hearing, offer testimony, and ask questions.

I also want to thank our witnesses for being here today. We are meeting this morning to receive state and local input for improving the emergency management capabilities and readiness at the federal, state, and local levels. Hurricane Katrina revealed problems in the emergency management system at all levels of government that have to be addressed, and you have a role in guiding the efforts to fix these problems.

Unfortunately, this hasn't always been a collaborative process. Too often, the federal government has failed to take into account your views. There has never been a greater need for your professional advice and expertise. We have to get this right, and we need your help to do it.

Like Hurricane Katrina, a catastrophic earthquake in Southern California could paralyze the region, destroy the infrastructure, and leave tens of thousands homeless. With over 300 faults, Southern California is at great risk for a catastrophic earthquake. Models of a 7.5 earthquake in the Los Angeles basin predict over 18,000 dead, a quarter of a trillion dollars in losses, and 300,000 left homeless. These predictions dwarf losses from the 1994 Northridge earthquake. Without reforms to the current emergency management system, we will have another uncoordinated federal response like that in the Gulf Coast.

I was on the House Select Committee that investigated the response to Hurricane Katrina, and our key findings of the federal failure were that the plan was flawed and the execution was ineffective. There was confusion over who had the authority to make decisions. Response capabilities were deficient. In some places, it took a week before the federal government even arrived. Is Southern California prepared to be on its own for a week, even though conventional wisdom says you only need to be prepared for 72 hours?

The House Select Committee report found that the federal response to Hurricane Katrina was slowed because key decisions were made late, ineffectively, or not at all. Today, these key decisions about how and when to engage federal response assets are no longer in FEMA. They are with DHS.

Also, DHS and FEMA responded to Katrina with a business as usual attitude. Business as usual means sitting back and waiting for the state to request assistance, instead of proactively getting supplies into the field prior to a formal request. Business as usual does not work in a catastrophic disaster.

Following a catastrophic earthquake, the state and local governments may need federal assistance before there is a clear operating picture. If federal assistance is needed immediately to save lives and prevent suffering, should the federal government wait for the state to follow protocol? Can Southern Californians wait for help while the federal government demands that the state follows bureaucratic procedures? We cannot afford to get it wrong again.

Additionally, the report found that the government failed to effectively execute response plans and authorities. This failure can be attributed to an inadequate professional disaster workforce. At the time Katrina struck, FEMA had 500 vacancies. This is a small agency within a big department. They cannot afford to be without that many people. Without the right number of the right people, this will never work.

If we are to successfully respond to a catastrophic earthquake, we must enhance state and local emergency management capacity. One of the important lessons of Katrina is that the federal government's ability to respond to a catastrophic disaster is often dependent upon the quality of the state and local disaster system.

Disaster management is a shared responsibility and state and local governments need to be able to handle most disasters on their own and be prepared to integrate federal assistance into their operations during larger events.

Despite spending about \$3 billion dollars a year on first responder grants since September 11th, it is very difficult to see where those dollars resulted in improved capabilities or readiness in our response to Hurricane Katrina. The report found that inadequate capabilities and readiness resulted in the federal response being overwhelmed in critical areas such as logistics, communications, situational awareness, and command and control. It is truly staggering that we have spent so much on preparedness and have so little to show for it. We have to do better.

These are systemic failures. Clearly the system needs to be reformed. We are here today to hear about the specific challenges you face and your recommendations for reform at the federal level. At the end of the day, if the federal government fails to reform itself, then the state and local governments will have to face the next catastrophic disaster and its consequences largely alone for the first week or longer. I look forward to hearing your testimony today.